arXiv:alg-geom/9510004v2 19 Dec 1995

ON FUJITA'S FREENESS CONJECTURE FOR 3-FOLDS AND 4-FOLDS

Yujiro Kawamata

Abstract. We shall prove a conjecture of T. Fujita on the freeness of the adjoint linear systems in some cases: Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and H an ample divisor. Assume that $n = 3$ or 4. Then $|K_X + mH|$ is free if $m \geq n + 1$. Moreover, we obtain more precise result in the case $n = 3$.

Introduction

T. Fujita raised the following:

Conjecture 1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and H an ample divisor. Then $|K_X + mH|$ is free if $m \geq n + 1$. Moreover, if $(H^n) \geq 2$, then $|K_X + nH|$ is also free.* □

In the case $n = 3$, Ein and Lazarsfeld [EL1] gave an affirmative answer to the first part of Conjecture 1 and Fujita [F] the second part. A stronger version of Fujita's freeness conjecture is the following:

Conjecture 2. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n, $x_0 \in X$ a smooth point, and L an ample Cartier divisor. Assume that there exist positive numbers σ_p for $p = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ which satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $\sqrt[p]{(L^p \cdot W)} \geq \sigma_p$ for any subvariety W of dimension p which contains x_0,

(2) $\sigma_p \geq n$ for all p and $\sigma_n > n$.

Then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0. □

In the case $n = 3$, [F] proved that, if $\sigma_1 \geq 3, \sigma_2 \geq \sqrt{7}$ and $\sigma_3 \geq \sqrt[3]{51}$, then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0. In an arbitrary dimension, Angehrn and Siu [AS] proved a weaker result that, if $\sigma_p > \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ for any p, then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0. In particular, $|K_X + mH|$ is free if $m \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + 1$ in the situation of Conjecture 1. Parallel arguments are possible in differential geometry and algebraic geometry; this proof is translated to algebraic geometry by Kollár. There is also a paper by Tsuji [T]. A paper of Smith [Sm] suggests that, even if X is singular, we should have the spannedness of the reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + L)$ under certain conditions.

We shall prove the following results in this paper:

(1) (Theorem 3.1): In the case $n = 3$, Conjecture 2 is true.

(2) (Theorem 4.1): In the case $n = 4$, if $\sigma_p \geq 5$ for all p, then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0. In particular, the first part of Conjecture 1 is true.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C20, 14B05, 14F17.

Key words and phrases. adjoint linear system, free, vanishing theorem, Fujita conjecture.

In §§1 and 2, we shall explian the general strategy toward the freeness results. This is an application of the vanishing theorem of [K1] and [V], and has the origin in the proof of the base point free theorem ([K2], [Sh1]). The adjoint linear system appears naturally in the course of the proof. We note that there exists no universal bound of m_0 as a function of n such that $|mH|$ is free if $m \geq m_0$. This justifies that we ask the effective freeness for the adjoint linear system $|K_X + mH|$. §§3 and 4 are devoted to the proof of the main results.

The author would like to thank Professors L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld for showing the manuscript [EL2] to the author at AMS Summer Institute at Santa Cruz. In the first version of this paper, Theorem 2.2 was weaker so that we had to assume $\sigma_3 > \sqrt[3]{3(\frac{2}{3-\sqrt{2}})^3 + 24} = \sqrt[3]{30.0183\cdots} = 3.107865\cdots$ in Theorem 3.1. After that, the author received two letters, one from S. Helmke and the other from L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, and both contained the optimal result stated as in Theorem 3.1 by using the idea of T. Fujita [F]. This paper follows the argument of the former. The author would like to express his gratitude to S. Helmke for allowing the author to reproduce his result.

1. Minimal center of log canonical singularities

We recall the standard notation (cf. [KMM]). Let X be a normal variety of dimension n. A $\mathbb{Q}$-*divisor* is an element of $Z_{n-1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, i.e., a finite formal sum $D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j D_j$ of prime divisors D_j with coefficients $d_j \in \mathbb{Q}$. We usually require implicitly that the D_j are distinct. D is said to be *effective* if $d_j \geq 0$ for all j. The *round up* of D is defined by $\ulcorner D \urcorner = \sum_j \ulcorner d_j \urcorner D_j$. Two $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors D_1, D_2 are said to be $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly equivalent, and we write $D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_2$, if there exists a positive integer m and a non-zero rational function h such that $m(D_1 - D_2) = \mathrm{div}(h)$. By abuse of notation, we sometimes write $D_1 = D_2$ instead of $D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_2$ when the canonical divisors are involved (e.g., the first paragraph of Definition 1.2).

D is called a $\mathbb{Q}$-*Cartier divisor* if it is in the image of the natural injective homomorphism $\mathrm{Div}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to Z_{n-1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If D is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor and $x_0 \in X$ is a point, then the order $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D \in \mathbb{Q}$ is defined by linearity. If X is complete and D is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, we can define the intersection number $(D^s \cdot S) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for any subvariety S of dimension s on X. D is said to be *nef* if $(D \cdot C) \geq 0$ for any curve C. In this case, D is called *big* if $(D^n) > 0$.

Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be a birational morphism of normal varieties. The *exceptional locus* $\mathrm{Exc}(\mu)$ of μ is the smallest closed subset of Y such that $\mu|_{Y \setminus \mathrm{Exc}(\mu)}$ is an isomorphism. If D is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor (resp. a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor) on X, we can define the *strict transform* (resp. *total transform*) $\mu_*^{-1} D$ (resp. $\mu^* D$). For a pair (X, D) of a variety and a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, an *embedded resolution* or a *log resolution* is a proper birational morphism $\mu : Y \to X$ from a smooth variety Y such that the union of the support of $\mu_*^{-1} D$ and $\mathrm{Exc}(\mu)$ is a normal crossing divisor.

Most of the results of this paper are the applications of the following vanishing theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and D a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Assume that D is nef and big, and that the support of the difference $\ulcorner D \urcorner - D$ is a normal crossing divisor. Then $H^p(X, K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) = 0$ for $p > 0$.* □

Definition 1.2. Let X be a normal variety and $D = \sum_i d_i D_i$ an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. If $\mu : Y \to X$ is an embedded resolution of the

pair (X, D), then we can write

$$K_Y + \mu_*^{-1}D = \mu^*(K_X + D) + F$$

with $F = \sum_j e_j E_j$ for the exceptional divisors E_j. We call F the *discrepancy* and $e_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ the *discrepancy coefficient* for E_j. We regard $-d_i$ as the discrepancy coefficient for D_i.

The pair (X, D) is said to have only *log canonical singularities (LC)* (resp. *kawamata log terminal singularities (KLT)*) if $d_i \leq 1$ (resp. < 1) for all i and $e_j \geq -1$ (resp. > -1) for all j for an embedded resolution $\mu : Y \to X$. One can also say that (X, D) is LC (resp. KLT), or $K_X + D$ is LC (resp. KLT), when (X, D) has only LC (resp. KLT). If $D = 0$, then X is called LC (resp. KLT) if so is (X, D). The pair (X, D) is said to be LC (resp. KLT) at a point $x_0 \in X$ if $(U, D|_U)$ is LC (resp. KLT) for some neighborhood U of x_0.

Definition 1.3. A subvariety W of X is said to be a *center of log canonical singularities* for the pair (X, D), if there is a birational morphism from a normal variety $\mu : Y \to X$ and a prime divisor E on Y with the discrepancy coefficient $e \leq -1$ such that $\mu(E) = W$. For example, if $E = \mu_*^{-1}D_i$ for some i, then we have $e = -d_i$, so D_i is a center of log canonical singularities if and only if $d_i \geq 1$. For another such $\mu' : Y' \to X$, if the strict transform E' of E exists on Y', then we have the same discrepancy coefficient for E'. The divisor E' is considered to be equivalent to E, and the equivalence class of these prime divisors is called a *place of log canonical singularities* for (X, D).

The set of all the centers (resp. places) of log canonical singularities is denoted by $CLC(X, D)$ (resp. $PLC(X, D)$). Thus there is a natural surjective map $PLC(X, D) \to CLC(X, D)$, which is not necessarily injective. If (X, D) is LC, then $CLC(X, D)$ is a finite set. The union of all the subvarieties in $CLC(X, D)$ is denoted by $LLC(X, D)$ and called the *locus of log canonical singularities* for (X, D). $LLC(X, D)$ is a closed subset of X, and is empty if and only if (X, D) is KLT. For a point $x_0 \in X$, we define $CLC(X, x_0, D) = \{W \in CLC(X, D); x_0 \in W\}$.

Theorem 1.4. *(Connectedness Lemma,[Sh2], [Ko]). Let $f : X \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism of normal varieties with connected fibers, and $D = \sum_i d_i D_i$ a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X such that $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Assume the following conditions:*

(1) *if $d_i < 0$, then $codim(f(D_i)) \geq 2$,*
(2) *$-(K_X + D)$ is f-nef and f-big.*

Then $LLC(X, D) \cap f^{-1}(z)$ is connected for any point $z \in Z$.

We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Proof. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be an embedded resolution of the pair (X, D), and $K_Y + D_Y = \mu^*(K_X + D)$. By definition, we have $LLC(X, D) = \mu(LLC(Y, D_Y))$. So we may assume that X is smooth and $\mathrm{Supp}(D)$ is normal crossing. If we write $D = S + D'$ with $S = \sum_{d_i \geq 1} d_i D_i$ and $D' = \sum_{d_i < 1} d_i D_i$, then $LLC(X, D) = \mathrm{Supp}(S)$. By the condition (2), we have $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner -D \urcorner) = 0$. From an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner -D \urcorner) \to \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner -D' \urcorner) \to \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner S \lrcorner}(\ulcorner -D' \urcorner) \to 0$$

we deduce that the natural homomorphism $f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner -D' \urcorner) \to f_* \mathcal{O}_{\llcorner S \lrcorner}(\ulcorner -D' \urcorner)$ is surjective. Since $\ulcorner -D' \urcorner \geq 0$, there is a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Z \to f_* \mathcal{O}_X(\ulcorner -D' \urcorner)$, which is an isomorphism by the condition (1). Therefore, we obtain our assertion. □

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be a normal variety and D an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor such that $K_X + D$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Assume that X is KLT and (X, D) is LC. If $W_1, W_2 \in CLC(X, D)$ and W an irreducible component of $W_1 \cap W_2$, then $W \in CLC(X, D)$. In particular, if (X, D) is not KLT at a point $x_0 \in X$, then there exists the unique minimal element of $CLC(X, x_0, D)$.*

Proof. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that X is affine. Let D_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be a general member among effective Cartier divisors which contain W_i. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be an embedded resolution of the pair $(X, D+D_1+D_2)$. We choose μ so that there are divisors E_i above the W_i with the discrepancy coefficients -1. Let e_i (resp. e_i') be the coefficients of E_i in $\mu^* D$ (resp. $\mu^* D_i$), and a_i the positive numbers such that $e_i = a_i e_i'$. Then we have $LLC(X, (1-\epsilon)D + a_1\epsilon D_1 + a_2\epsilon D_2) = W_1 \cup W_2$ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. By the connectedness lemma, there exist divisors $F_i(\epsilon)$ on Y for $i = 1, 2$ such that $F_i(\epsilon) \in PLC(X, (1-\epsilon)D + a_1\epsilon D_1 + a_2\epsilon D_2)$, $\mu(F_i(\epsilon)) \subset W_i$, and $F_1(\epsilon) \cap F_2(\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Since there are only a finite number of the exceptional divisors for μ, we have the common divisors $F_i = F_i(\epsilon)$ for a convergent sequence $\epsilon \to 0$. Then $\mu(F_1 \cap F_2) \in CLC(X, D)$. □

We shall control the singularities of the minimal center of log canonical singularities in the following theorem which are also obtained independently by Ein and Lazarsfeld ([EL2]). This is a variant of the connectedness lemma.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a normal vairiety, $x_0 \in X$ a KLT point, D an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor such that (X, D) is LC at x_0, W a minimal element of $CLC(X, x_0, D)$, and E a place of log canonical singularities for (X, D) on a blow-up Y of X which lies above W. Then W is normal at x_0, and the projection $E \to W$ has connected fibers in a neighborhood of x_0.*

Proof. Since the assertions are local, we may assume that X is affine. We may assume that $LLC(X, D) = W$ as in the proof of Proposition 1.5. We may also assume that $PLC(X, D)$ consists of one element. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be an embedded resolution of the pair (X, D). We write

$$K_Y + E + F = \mu^*(K_X + D)$$

where E is a prime divisor such that $\mu(E) = W$, and F is a divisor whose coefficients are smaller than 1. By Theorem 1.1

$$H^1(Y, -E + \ulcorner -F \urcorner) = 0$$

and we obtain a surjection

$$H^0(Y, \ulcorner -F \urcorner) \to H^0(E, \ulcorner -F \urcorner|_E)$$

Since $\ulcorner -F \urcorner$ is effective and exceptional, we have

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(Y, \ulcorner -F \urcorner)$$

Therefore, the natural injective homomorphism

$$H^0(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \to H^0(E, \ulcorner -F \urcorner)$$

is surjective. Thus W is normal, and $\mu : E \to W$ has connected fibers. □

Proposition 1.7. *Let $x_0 \in X$, D and W be as in Theorem 1.6. Assume that W is a prime divisor. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_W on W such that $K_X + D|_W = K_W + D_W$ and the pair (W, D_W) is KLT at x_0.*

Proof. By using the residue map $\omega_X(W) \to \omega_W$, we can define naturally the effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_W on W (cf. [KMM]). We have

$$\mu_E^*(K_W + D_W) = (K_Y + E + F)|_E = K_E + F|_E$$

where $\mu_E = \mu|_E : E \to W$, and the pair (W, D_W) is KLT, since μ_E is birational. □

Question 1.8. Let $x_0 \in X$, D and W be as in Theorem 1.6. Does there exist an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D_W on W such that $K_X + D|_W = K_W + D_W$ and the pair (W, D_W) is KLT at x_0?

We shall give an affirmative answer to Question 1.8 in the case codim $_X W = 2$ in [K3]. We also have a positive evidence in the case dim $W = 2$:

Theorem 1.9. *Let $x_0 \in X$, D and W be as in Theorem 1.6. Assume that dim $W = 2$. Then W has at most a rational singularity at x_0. Moreover, if W is singular at x_0, and if D' is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on X such that $ord_{x_0} D'|_W \geq 1$, then $\{x_0\} \in CLC(X, x_0, D + D')$.*

Proof. We use the notation of Theorem 1.6. As in the proof of Proposition 1.5, we may assume that none of the coefficients of F are integers and the projection $\mu : E \to W$ is factorized as $E \xrightarrow{\alpha} \tilde{W} \xrightarrow{\beta} W$ with $\tilde{W}$ smooth and β birational. By the vanishing theorem

$$H^p(Y, -E + \ulcorner -F \urcorner) = H^p(Y, \ulcorner -F \urcorner) = 0 \text{ for } p > 0$$

where the latter is obtained by replacing D by $(1 - \epsilon)D$ for a small $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$H^p(E, \ulcorner -F|_E \urcorner) = 0 \text{ for } p > 0$$

Similarly, we have $R^p\alpha_* \mathcal{O}_E(\ulcorner -F|_E \urcorner) = 0$ for $p > 0$. Therefore, if we set $\mathcal{F} = \alpha_* \mathcal{O}_E(\ulcorner -F|_E \urcorner)$, then $R^p\beta_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ for $p > 0$. We know that $\ulcorner -F|_E \urcorner$ is effective and $\mu_* \mathcal{O}_E(\ulcorner -F|_E \urcorner) = \mathcal{O}_W$. Therefore, we have an injective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}} \to \mathcal{F}$, and the support of its cokernel is contained in $\mathrm{Exc}(\beta) = \bigcup_i G_i$.

Let $\mathcal{F}^{**} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G)$ be the double dual of $\mathcal{F}$, where $G = \sum_i g_i G_i$ for some non-negative integers g_i. Let $g = \sum_i g_i$. We define a sequence of effective divisors $G^j = \sum_i g_i^j G_i$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, g$ such that $\sum_i g_i^j = g - j$ inductively as follows. First, set $G^0 = G$. Assuming that G^{j_0} is defined for a $j_0 < g$, pick an i_{j_0} such that $(G^{j_0} \cdot G_{i_{j_0}}) < 0$, and set $G^{j_0+1} = G^{j_0} - G_{i_{j_0}}$. Let $\mathcal{F}^j = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G^j)$. Then we have injective homomorphisms $\mathcal{F}^j/\mathcal{F}^{j+1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G^j)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G^{j+1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{G_{i_j}}(G^j)$. Since $H^0(G_{i_j}, G^j) = 0$, we have $H^1(\mathcal{F}^{j+1}) = 0$ if $H^1(\mathcal{F}^j) = 0$. Therefore, we have $H^1(\tilde{W}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}) = 0$.

In order to prove the second part, we shall prove that $g_{i_0} = 0$ for some i_0. Assume the contrary that $g_i > 0$ for all i. By the above argument, we have $H^1(\mathcal{F}^j/\mathcal{F}^{j+1}) = 0$, hence $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G^j)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}(G^{j+1})) = 0$ for all j. Then $H^1(G, G|_G) = 0$. By the Serre duality, we have $H^0(G, K_{\tilde{W}}|_G) = 0$. But since W has a rational singularity at x_0, it is a contradiction, hence $g_{i_0} = 0$ for some i_0.

Let $F|_E = \sum_\ell f_\ell F_\ell$ and $\alpha^* G_{i_0} = \sum_\ell k_\ell F_\ell$. Since $g_{i_0} = 0$, there exists an ℓ_0 such that $\alpha(F_{\ell_0}) = G_{i_0}$ and $\ulcorner -f_{\ell_0} \urcorner < k_{\ell_0}$, hence $-f_{\ell_0} \leq k_{\ell_0} - 1$. We have $K_Y + E + F + \mu^* D' = \mu^*(K_X + D + D')$. Since $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'|_W \geq 1$, the coefficient of $F + \mu^* D'$ on F_{ℓ_0} is at least $f_{\ell_0} + k_{\ell_0} \geq 1$, and $\{x_0\} \in CLC(X, x_0, D + D')$. □

We shall replace the minimal center of log canonical singularities by a smaller subvariety by using the following theorem due to [EL2]. This is another evidence of Question 1.8 on the adjunciton and the inverse adjunction.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $x_0 \in X$, D and W be as in Theorem 1.6. Let D_1 and D_2 be effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors on X whose supports do not contain W and which induce the same $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on W. Assume that $(X, D + D_1)$ is LC at x_0 and there exists an element of $CLC(X, x_0, D + D_1)$ which is properly contained in W. Then the similar statement holds for the pair $(X, D + D_2)$.*

Proof. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that X is affine. First, we assume that W is the only element of $CLC(X, D)$ and there is only one place of log canonical singularities above it. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be an embedded resolution of the pair $(X, D + D_1 + D_2)$, and E the divisor which represents the place of log canonical singularities for (X, D). We write $K_Y + E + \sum_i f_i F_i = \mu^*(K_X + D)$ and $K_Y + E + \sum_i f_{i,\alpha} F_i = \mu^*(K_X + D + D_\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$. Let D_W be the $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisors on W which is induced by the D_α, and $\mu^* D_W = \sum_i g_i G_i$ for $G_i = F_i \cap E$. Then we have $f_{i,1} = f_{i,2} = f_i + g_i$ if $G_i \neq \emptyset$. By the connectedness lemma, there exists i_0 such that $G_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$ and $f_{i_0,1} \geq 1$. Since the support of D_1 does not contain W, we have $\mu(G_{i_0}) \subsetneq W$. Hence there exists an element of $CLC(X, x_0, D + D_2)$ which is properly contained in W.

Let

$$c = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q}; K_X + D + tD_2 \text{ is LC at } x_0\}$$

Then the assumption of the theorem is satisfied by the pair $(X, D + cD_2)$. By the preceding argument, there exists an element of $CLC(X, x_0, D + cD_1)$ which is properly contained in W. Since $(X, D + D_1)$ is LC, we have $c \geq 1$, and $(X, D + D_2)$ is LC at x_0.

Now we consider the general case. Let us take a general Cartier divisor D' which contains W, and a positive number a such that $K_X + (1 - \epsilon)D + a\epsilon D' = K_X + D + \epsilon(-D + aD')$ is LC with the only one place of canonical singularities for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. There exists a function $b(\epsilon)$ such that the assumption of the theorem holds for the pair $(X, (1-\epsilon)D + a\epsilon D' + (1+b(\epsilon))D_1)$. Being LC is a closed condition for the coefficients of divisors, so $b(\epsilon)$ is a well defined continuous convex function such that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} b(\epsilon) = 0$. By the first part of the proof, the conclusion of the theorem holds for $(X, (1-\epsilon)D + a\epsilon D' + (1+b(\epsilon))D_2)$. Looking at the discrepancies, we conclude that $c = 1$. Then the minimal element of $CLC(X, x_0, D + D_2)$ should be smaller than W, since the support of D_2 does not contain W. □

2. General method

We shall consider the conditions for the existence of a member of the given linear system which has prescribed order at a given point.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a normal and complete variety of dimension n, L a nef and big Cartier divisor, $x_0 \in X$ a point, and t a rational number such that*

$t > 1$. Then there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ and

$$ord_{x_0} D \geq \sqrt[n]{\frac{(L^n)}{mult_{x_0} X}}$$

Proof. We shall prove that there exists a positive integer m with $mt \in \mathbb{N}$ and a member $D_m \in |mtL|$ such that

$$\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D_m \geq m \sqrt[n]{\frac{(L^n)}{\mathrm{mult}_{x_0} X}}$$

Since

$$\text{length } \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^d = \frac{d^n}{n!}\mathrm{mult}_{x_0} X + \text{lower terms in } d$$

it is enough to prove that

$$h^0(X, mL) = \frac{m^n (L^n)}{n!} + \text{lower terms in } m$$

If we replace X by its desingularization, we may assume that X is smooth and projective. Let H be a very ample Cartier divisor such that $H - K_X$ is ample. Then we have $H^p(X, mL + H) = 0$ for any $p > 0$ and $m > 0$. Hence

$$h^0(X, mL + H) = \chi(X, mL + H) = \frac{m^n (L^n)}{n!} + \text{ lower terms in } m$$

If Y is a general member of the linear system $|H|$, then

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(mL) \to \mathcal{O}_X(mL + H) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(mL + H) \to 0$$

Since $\dim Y = n - 1$, we obtain the result. □

The following theorem is due to S. Helmke after the idea of Fujita [F].

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n, $x_0 \in X$ a smooth point, L an ample Cartier divisor, W a prime divisor with $ord_{x_0} W = d \geq 1$, and e, k positive rational numbers such that $de \leq 1$ and $k^n < (L^n)$. Assume that for any effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D, if $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ and $ord_{x_0} D \geq k$, then it follows that $D \geq ekW$. Then there exists a real number λ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 - de$ which satisfies the following condition: if k' is a positive rational number such that $k' > k$ and*

$$(\lambda k)^n + (\frac{1 - de - \lambda}{1 - \lambda})^{n-1}\{(k' + \frac{\lambda dek}{1 - de - \lambda})^n - (\lambda k + \frac{\lambda dek}{1 - de - \lambda})^n\} < (L^n)$$

then there exist an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor D such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ and $ord_{x_0} D \geq k'$. (If $\lambda = 1 - de$, then the left hand side of the above inequality should be taken as a limit.)

Proof. Let us define a function $\phi(q)$ for $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ to be the largest real number such that $D \geq \phi(q)W$ whenever $D \geq 0, D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D = q$. Then ϕ is a convex function. In fact, if $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D_1 = q_1$ and $D_1 \geq (\phi(q_1) + \varepsilon)W + \text{other components}$

for $0 < \epsilon_i \ll 1$ and $i = 1, 2$, then $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0}(tD_1 + (1-t)D_2) = tq_1 + (1-t)q_2$ and $tD_1 + (1-t)D_2 = (t(\phi(q_1) + \epsilon_1) + (1-t)(\phi(q_2) + \epsilon_2))W + \text{other components}$, hence $\phi(tq_1 + (1-t)q_2) \leq t\phi(q_1) + (1-t)\phi(q_2)$. Since $\phi(k) \geq ek$, there exists a real number λ such that $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\phi(q) \geq \frac{e(q-\lambda k)}{1-\lambda}$ for any q. Since $q \geq \phi(q)d$, we have $\lambda \leq 1 - de$.

Let m be a large and sufficiently divisible integer and $v : H^0(X, mL) \to \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}(mL) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}$ the evaluation homomorphism. We consider subspaces $V_j = v^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^j)$ of $H^0(X, mL)$ for integers j such that $\lambda km \leq j \leq k'm$. First, we have

$$\dim V_{\ulcorner \lambda km \urcorner} \geq \dim H^0(X, mL) - \frac{(\lambda km)^n}{n!} + \text{lower terms in } m$$

Let $D \in |mL|$ be a member corresponding to $h \in V_j$ for some j. Since we have $D \geq \phi(j/m)mW$, the number of conditions in order for $h \in V_{j+1}$ is at most the number of homogeneous polynomials of order $j - \phi(j/m)dm$ in n veriables, i.e.,

$$\frac{(j - \phi(j/m)dm)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \text{lower terms in } m$$

Therefore, our assertion follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(\lambda km)^n}{n!} + \sum_{j=\ulcorner \lambda km \urcorner}^{k'm-1} \frac{(j - \frac{de(j-\lambda km)}{1-\lambda})^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \text{lower terms in } m \\
&= \frac{(\lambda km)^n}{n!} + \frac{(\frac{1-de-\lambda}{1-\lambda})^{n-1}\{(k' + \frac{\lambda dek}{1-de-\lambda})^n - (\lambda k + \frac{\lambda dek}{1-de-\lambda})^n\}m^n}{n!} \\
&\quad + \text{lower terms in } m \\
&< \frac{m^n(L^n)}{n!} + \text{lower terms in } m
\end{aligned}$$

□

We begin to state our freeness result in the ideal case in which the minimal center of canonical singularities is an isolated point.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n, $x_0 \in X$ a Gorenstein KLT point, and L an ample Cartier divisor. Assume that there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D which satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ for a rational number $t < 1$,

(2) (X, D) is LC at x_0,

(3) $\{x_0\} \in CLC(X, D)$.

Then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0.

Proof. Let D' be a general member of $|mL|$ for $m \gg 0$ which passes through x_0. If we replace D by $(1-\epsilon_1)(D + \epsilon_2 D')$ for some $0 < \epsilon_i \ll \frac{1}{m}$, then we may assume that x_0 is an isolated point of $LLC(X, D)$. Let $\mu : Y \to X$ be an embedded resolution of the pair (X, D). Then

$$K_Y + E + F = \mu^*(K_X + D)$$

where E is a reduced divisor such that $\mu(E) = \{x_0\}$, and F is a divisor of the form $\sum_j f_j F_j$ with $f_j < 1$ if $x_0 \in \mu(F_j)$. Then

$$K_Y + (1-t)\mu^* L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mu^*(K_X + L) - E - F.$$

Thus

$$H^1(Y, \mu^*(K_X + L) - E + \ulcorner -F \urcorner) = 0$$

and we obtain a surjection

$$H^0(Y, \mu^*(K_X + L) + \ulcorner -F \urcorner) \to H^0(E, \mu^*(K_X + L)) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $\ulcorner -F \urcorner$ is effective and exceptional over a neighborhood of x_0,

$$H^0(X, K_X + L) \to H^0(E, \mu^*(K_X + L))$$

is also surjective. □

By combining Propositions 2.1 and 2.3, we would obtain Fujita's freeness conjecture if we would have only the ideal case.

3. Smooth 3-fold

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension 3, L an ample Cartier divisor, and $x_0 \in X$ a smooth point. Assume that there are positive numbers σ_p for $p = 1, 2, 3$ which satisfy the following conditions:*

1. *$\sqrt[p]{(L^p \cdot W)} \geq \sigma_p$ for any subvariety W of dimension p which contains x_0,*
2. *$\sigma_1 \geq 3, \sigma_2 \geq 3$, and $\sigma_3 > 3$.*

Then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0.

Proof. Step 0. Let t be a rational number such that $t > \frac{3}{\sqrt[3]{(L^3)}}$. Since $\sigma_3 > 3$, we can take $t < 1$. By Proposition 2.1, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D = 3$. Let $c \leq 1$ be the *log canonical threshold* of (X, D) at x_0:

$$c = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q}; K_X + tD \text{ is LC at } x_0\}$$

and let W be the minimal element of $CLC(X, x_0, cD)$. If $W = \{x_0\}$, then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0 by Propositions 2.3.

Step 1. We consider the case in which $W = C$ is a curve. Since $t < 1$, we have $ct + (1-c) < 1$. Since $\sigma_1 \geq 3$, there exists a rational number t' with $ct + (1-c) < t' < 1$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D'_C on C such that $D'_C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t' - ct)L|_C$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'_C = 3(1-c)$. By the Serre vanishing theorem, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D' on X such that $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t' - ct)L$ and $D'|_C = D'_C$. In fact, if we take a sufficiently large and divisible integer m such that mD'_C is a Cartier divisor in $|m(t' - ct)L|_C|$ and $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_C(m(t' - ct)L)) = 0$, then there exists an extension $D'_m \in |m(t' - ct)L|$ of mD'_C, so we set $D' = \frac{1}{m} D'_m$.

Let D'_1 be a general effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor on an affine neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that $D'_1|_{C \cap U} = D'_C|_{C \cap U}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'_1 = 3(1-c)$. Then we have $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0}(cD + D'_1) = 3$, hence $\{x_0\} \in CLC(U, cD + D'_1)$. Let

$$c' = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{Q}; K_U + (cD + tD'_1) \text{ is LC at } x_0\}$$

By Theorem 1.10, we conclude that $(X, cD+c'D')$ is LC at x_0, and $CLC(X, x_0, cD+c'D')$ has an element which is properly contained in C, i.e., $\{x_0\}$.

Step 2-1. We consider the case in which $W = S$ is a surface. S is smooth or has a rational double point at x_0. Let $d := \mathrm{mult}_{x_0} S = 1$ or 2. We assume first that $d = 1$. As in Step 1, we take a rational number t', an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D' on X and a positive number c' such that $ct + (1-c) < t' < 1$, $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t'-ct)L$, $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'|_S = 3(1-c)$, $(X, cD+c'D')$ is LC at x_0, and that the minimal element W' of $CLC(X, x_0, cD + c'D')$ is properly contained in S. Thus we have the theorem when $W' = \{x_0\}$.

We consider the case in which $W' = C$ is a curve. Since $t, t' < 1$, we have $ct + c'(t'-ct) + (1-c)(1-c') < 1$. As in Step 1, there exists a rational number t'' with $ct + c'(t'-ct) + (1-c)(1-c') < t'' < 1$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D''_C on C such that $D''_C \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t''-ct-c'(t'-ct))L|_C$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D''_C = 3(1-c)(1-c')$. Let $D'' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t''-ct-c'(t'-ct))L$ be its extension to X as before. Let us take a general effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D''_1 on an affine neighborhood U of x_0 in X such that $D''_1|_{C\cap U} = D''_C|_{C\cap U}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D''_1|_S = 3(1-c)(1-c')$. By Theorem 1.10, there exists c'' such that $(U, cD + c'D' + c''D''_1)$ is LC at x_0 and there exists an element of $CLC(U, x_0, cD+c'D'+c''D''_1)$ which is properly contained in S. Moreover, since D''_1 is chosen to be general, we have $c'' > 0$ and the minimal element should be properly contained in C. By Theorem 1.10 again, $(X, cD + c'D' + c''D'')$ is LC at x_0 and there exists an element of $CLC(X, x_0, cD + c'D' + c''D'')$ which is properly contained in C.

Step 2-2. We assume that $d = 2$. As in Step 2-1, we take a rational number t' with $ct+\sqrt{2}(1-c) < t'$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D' on X with $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t'-ct)L$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'|_S = 3(1-c)$. Here we need the factor $\sqrt{2}$ because S has multiplicity 2 at x_0. We take $0 < c' \le 1$ such that $(X, cD+c'D')$ is LC and $CLC(X, x_0, cD+c'D')$ has an element which is properly contained in S.

We shall prove that we may assume $ct + \sqrt{2}(1-c) < 1$. Then we can take $t' < 1$ as in Step 2-1, and the rest of the proof is the same. For this purpose, we apply Theorem 2.2. In the argument of Steps 0 through 2-1, the number t was chosen under the only condition that $t < 1$. So we can take $t = 1 - \epsilon_1$, where the ϵ_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ will stand for very small positive rational numbers. Then $k = \frac{3}{1-\epsilon_1} = 3 + \epsilon_2$ and $e = \frac{1}{3c}$. This means the following: for any effective $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$, if $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D \ge 3$, then $cD \ge S$. We look for $k' = 6$ so that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ with $t = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D \ge 3$. The equation for k' becomes

$$\lambda^3 + (\frac{1-2e-\lambda}{1-\lambda})^2\{(2 + \frac{2\lambda e}{1-2e-\lambda})^3 - (\lambda + \frac{2\lambda e}{1-2e-\lambda})^3\} < 1.$$

We have $\lambda + 2e \le 1$, $\frac{1}{3} \le e$, and in particular, $0 \le \lambda \le \frac{1}{3}$. If we put $\frac{2e}{1-\lambda} = \alpha$, then $\frac{2}{3(1-\lambda)} \le \alpha \le 1$, and

$$(-\lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2 - 12\lambda + 8)\alpha^2 + (-\lambda^3 + 12\lambda - 16)\alpha + 8 < 1.$$

For a fixed λ, since $-\lambda^3+6\lambda^2-12\lambda+8 > 0$, the left hand side attains the maximum at $\alpha = \frac{2}{3(1-\lambda)}$ or $= 1$, and the values are given by $\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^2}(\frac{2}{3}\lambda^4 - \frac{10}{9}\lambda^3 + \frac{8}{3}\lambda^2 - \frac{8}{3}\lambda + \frac{8}{9})$ or $-2\lambda^3 + 6\lambda^2$, respectively. Since both numbers are smaller than 1, we obtain a member of $|mL|$ with $k' = 6$ for some m. Then we choose a new t as $t = \frac{1}{m}$. We

repeat the preceding argument from Step 0. If we arrive at Step 2-2 again, then we have $\frac{2}{3} \leq c \leq 1$ and $ct + \sqrt{2}(1-c) < 1$. □

Corollary 3.2. *([EL1], [F]). Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3, and H an ample divisor. Then $|K_X + mH|$ is free if $m \geq 4$. Moreover, if $(H^3) \geq 2$, then $|K_X + 3H|$ is also free.* □

4. Smooth 4-fold

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension 4, L an ample Cartier divisor, and $x_0 \in X$ a smooth point. Assume that there are positive numbers σ_p for $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ which satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $\sqrt[p]{(L^p \cdot W)} \geq \sigma_p$ for any subvariety W of dimension p which contains x_0,

(2) $\sigma_p \geq 5$ for all p.

Then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0.

Proof. Steps 0, 1 and 2-1. Let t be a rational number such that $t > \frac{4}{\sqrt[4]{(L^4)}}$. Since $\sigma_4 > 4$, we can take $t < 1$. By Proposition 2.1, there exists an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} tL$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D = 4$. Let $c \leq 1$ be the canonical threshold of (X, D) at x_0, and W the minimal element of $CLC(X, x_0, cD)$. If W is a point, then $|K_X + L|$ is free at x_0 by Propositions 2.3. Since $\sigma_p \geq 4$ for $p = 1, 2$, the cases in which W is a curve or a smooth surface can be treated similarly as in Steps 1 and 2-1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Step 2-2. We consider the case in which $W = S$ is a surface. Since S has a rational singularity at x_0 and its embedding dimension is 3 or 4, we have $d := \mathrm{mult}_{x_0} S = 2$ or 3 ([A]). We can take $t < \frac{4}{5} + \epsilon$ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, so $\frac{3t}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} < 1$. Therefore, if $c \geq \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\text{(a)} \qquad ct + \frac{4\sqrt{d}(1-c)}{\sigma_2} < 1$$

In this case, we can take a rational number t' and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D' on X such that $ct + \frac{4\sqrt{d}(1-c)}{\sigma_2} < t' < 1$, $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t' - ct)L$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'|_S = 4(1-c)$, and proceed as in Step 2-1. On the other hand, if $c \leq \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\text{(b)} \qquad ct + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sigma_2} < 1$$

We take t' and D' with $D' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (t' - ct)L$ and $\mathrm{ord}_{x_0} D'|_S = 1$, and use Theorem 1.9 in order to obtain a smaller center of log canonical singularities.

Let c' and W' as before. We consider the case in which $W' = C$ is a curve. We have

$$\mathrm{ord}_{x_0}(cD + c'D')|_S \geq \begin{cases} 4c + 4c'(1-c) = 4 - 4(1-c)(1-c') & \text{in the case (a)} \\ 4c + c' = 4 - 4(1 - c - \frac{c'}{4}) & \text{in the case (b)} \end{cases}$$

In the case (a), since $t, t' < 1$, we have $ct + c'(t' - ct) + (1-c)(1-c') < 1$. In the case (b), we have

$$ct + c'(t' - ct) + \frac{4}{\sigma_2}(1 - c - \frac{c'}{4}) < (\frac{4}{5} + \epsilon)c + \frac{4}{\sigma_2}(1-c) + c'(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5} - \frac{1}{\sigma_2}) < \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{5} + \epsilon < 1$$

The rest is the same as before.

Step 3. We consider the case in which $W = V$ is a 3-fold. Let $d := \mathrm{mult}_{x_0} V$. By Proposition 1.7, V has only a canonical singularity at x_0, and we have $d = 1, 2$ or 3. Since $t < \frac{4}{5} + \epsilon$ and $c \geq \frac{d}{4}$, we have

$$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)}{\sigma_3} < \frac{d + (4-d)\sqrt[3]{d}}{5} + \epsilon < 1$$

Therefore, there exists $t' < 1$ and D' as before, and we obtain c' and W'. If $W' = C$ is a curve, then

$$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)c'}{\sigma_3} + \frac{4(1-c)(1-c')}{\sigma_1} < 1$$

and we obtain our assertion as before.

We assume that $W' = S$ is a surface. Let $d' := \mathrm{mult}_{x_0} S = 1, 2$ or 3. We have to prove that one of the followings hold:

(a) $$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)c'}{\sigma_3} + \frac{4\sqrt{d'}(1-c)(1-c')}{\sigma_2} < 1$$

(b) $$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)c'}{\sigma_3} + \frac{\sqrt{d'}}{\sigma_2} < 1$$

If $\sqrt[3]{d} \geq \sqrt{d'}$, then (a) holds. Otherwise, we have the following cases:

(1) $d = 1$, $d' = 2$ or 3,
(2) $d = 2$, $d' = 2$ or 3,
(3) $d = 3$, $d' = 3$.

In the case (1), since the embedding dimension of S at x_0 is 3, we have $d' = 2$. Then we have $4c \geq 1$ and $4(1-c)c' \geq 2$, hence

$$\text{l.h.s. of (a)} < \frac{1 + 2 + \sqrt{2}}{5} + \epsilon < 1$$

In the case (2), since $4c \geq 2$, if $4(1-c)c' \geq 1$, then

$$\text{l.h.s. of (a)} < \frac{2 + \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt{3}}{5} + \epsilon = \frac{4.9919\cdots}{5} + \epsilon < 1$$

Otherwise, (b) holds. Finally, in the case (3),

$$\text{l.h.s. of (a)} < \frac{3 + \sqrt[3]{3}c' + \sqrt{3}(1-c')}{5} + \epsilon < \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}}{5} + \epsilon < 1$$

In any case, we obtain $t'' < 1$, D'', c'' and W'' as before.

When W'' is a curve, we have still $t''' < 1$. In fact, we have

$$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)c'}{\sigma_3} + \frac{4\sqrt{d'}(1-c)(1-c')c''}{\sigma_2} + \frac{4(1-c)(1-c')(1-c'')}{\sigma_1} < 1$$

in the case (a), and

$$ct + \frac{4\sqrt[3]{d}(1-c)c'}{\sigma_3} + \frac{\sqrt{d'}c''}{\sigma_2} + \frac{4(1-c)(1-c') - c''}{\sigma_1} < 1$$

in the case (b). The rest of the proof is similar to the previous steps. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension 4, and H an ample divisor. Then $|K_X + mH|$ is free if $m \geq 5$.* □

References

[A] M. Artin, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 129–136.

[AS] U. Angehrn and Y.-T. Siu, *Effective freeness and separation of points for adjoint bundles*, to appear, Invent. Math.

[EL1] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear series on smooth projective threefolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 875–903.

[EL2] ———, manuscript.

[F] T. Fujita, *Remarks on Ein-Lazarsfeld criterion of spannedness of adjoint bundles of polarized threefold*, preprint.

[K1] Y. Kawamata, *A generalization of Kodaira-Ramanujam's vanishing theorem*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 43–46.

[K2] ———, *On the finiteness of generators of a pluri-canonical ring for a 3-fold of general type*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 1503–1512.

[K3] ———, *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors for a subvariety of codimension 2*, preprint.

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*, Adv. St. Pure Math. **10** (1987), 283–360.

[Ko] J. Kollár et.al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992).

[Sh1] V. V. Shokurov, *The non-vanishing theorem*, Math. USSR-Izv. **26** (1986), 591–604.

[Sh2] ———, *3-fold log flips*, Math. USSR-Izv. **56** (1992), 105–203.

[Sm] K. Smith, *Fujita's freeness conjecture in terms of local cohomology*, preprint (1995).

[T] H. Tsuji, *Global generation of adjoint bundles*, preprint (1994).

[V] E. Viehweg, *Vanishing theorems*, J. reine angew. Math. **335** (1982), 1–8.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153, Japan

E-mail address: kawamata@tansei.cc.u-tokyo.ac.jp